## 

1	MELINDA L. HAAG (CSBN 132612)	
1	United States Attorney	
2	ALEX TSE (CSBN 152348)	
3	Chief, Civil Division SAM HIRSCH	
5	Acting Assistant Attorney General	
4	U.S. Department of Justice	
_	Environment and Natural Resources Division	56440)
5	STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE (N.Y. Bar No. 24 Senior Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice	36440)
6	Environment and Natural Resources Division	
_	501 "I" Street, Suite 9-700	
7	Sacramento, CA 95814-2322	
8	Tel: (916) 930-2204/Fax: (916) 930-2210 Email: Stephen.Macfarlane@usdoj.gov	
	JOSEPH T. MATHEWS (Colo. Bar No. 42865)	
9	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice	
10	Environment and Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station	
10	Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
11	Tel: (202) 305-0432/Fax: (202) 305-0506	
12	Email: joseph.mathews@usdoj.gov	
1,2	E. BARRETT ATWOOD (D.C. Bar No. 478539)	
13	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice	
1 /	Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section	
14	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050	
15	San Francisco, CA 94105	
	Tel: (415) 744-6480/Fax: (415) 744-6476	
16	Email: barrett.atwood@usdoj.gov	
17	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
	UNITED STATES D	
18	NORTHERN DISTRIC	
19	OAKLAND I	DIVISION
1)	DRAKES BAY OYSTER COMPANY et al.	Case No. 12-cy-06134-YGR
20		
21	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STATUS UPDATE AND
<b>41</b>	v.	REQUEST RE: CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
22	<b>v.</b>	CONTENENCE
22	S.M.R. JEWELL, in her official capacity as	
23	Secretary, U.S. Department of the Interior, et al.	Doto: July 7, 2014
24	Defendants.	Date: July 7, 2014
<b>3</b>	_ 3333341134	Time: 2:00 p.m.
25		County Hon Version Committee Dec
26		Court: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers, Courtroom 1, Oakland Courthouse
		Courte on 1, Canada Courthouse
27		
28		

1	JOINT STATUS UPDATE	
2	On February 4, 2013, this Court issued an Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for	
3	Preliminary Injunction (Doc. #89).	
4	On February 6, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal from that Order (Doc. #90).	
5	On February 25, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an Order, inter alia, granting	
6	Plaintiffs an injunction pending appeal and expediting the calendaring of that appeal.	
7	On September 3, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an opinion affirming this	
8	Court's Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.	
9	On September 20, this Court issued an Order (Doc. #111) that, inter alia, noted that	
10	"Plaintiffs represent they intend to petition for rehearing en banc," stayed this action pending the	
11	Ninth Circuit's ruling on Plaintiffs' petition for rehearing en banc, continued the case	
12	management conference to November 18, and ordered in pertinent part that:	
13 14	If the Ninth Circuit has ruled on the petition prior to the conference, the Court will determine whether a further stay is appropriate at that time.	
15 16	If the Ninth Circuit has not ruled on Plaintiffs' petition for rehearing by five (5) business days prior to the conference, the parties shall submit a joint status update by that date so informing the Court.	
17	On October 18, Plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing en banc.	
18	On January 14, 2014, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied Plaintiffs' petition for	
19	rehearing en banc and issued an amended opinion affirming this Court's Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.	
20		
21	On January 20, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Stay the Mandate Pending Petition For	
22	Certiorari (FRAP 41(d)(2)(A)). On January 27, the Ninth Circuit granted Plaintiffs motion to state the mandate, over Defendants' opposition.  On April 11, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court; Defendants filed their response on May 27, 2014.	
23		
24		
25		
26	On June 30, 2014, the United States Supreme Court issued an Order denying Plaintiffs'	
27	petition for a writ of certiorari.	
28		

1 Immediately thereafter, on June 30, 2014, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued the 2 Mandate in this case. 3 **JOINT REQUEST** 4 Having met and conferred through counsel by telephone, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that the Court should keep the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for July 7, 5 6 2014, on calendar. 7 Plaintiffs' Statement: Plaintiffs have not yet heard from Defendants what position 8 Defendants take on post-appeal issues, such as continued operation of the oyster farm. In 9 November 2012, Defendants authorized Plaintiffs to continue operations for 90 days, subject to 10 specified conditions. In February 2013, Plaintiffs informed Defendants of Plaintiffs' position that 11 the injunction issued by the Ninth Circuit restored the parties to the status quo ante as of 12 November 2012. Plaintiffs understand that Defendants will be providing a letter identifying 13 Defendants' position on post-appeal issues, and Plaintiffs expect to be engaging in sufficient 14 discussions with Defendants before the case management conference on July 7 so that the parties 15 will be in a position to provide the Court with meaningful information about how the case is 16 likely to proceed and whether the Court's assistance is likely to be needed. 17 Defendants' Statement: Defendants will shortly send Plaintiffs' counsel a letter 18 requesting that the parties enter into discussions concerning the prompt and orderly wind-down of 19 Plaintiffs' operations. 20 Thus Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that it would assist the Court for the parties to 21 provide their views regarding next steps, including on any discussions the parties may have prior 22 to the July 7 case management conference and whether the briefing schedule previously approved 23 by the Court (Doc. # 113) should be modified in any way. 24 Accordingly, Plaintiffs and Defendants respectfully request that the Court hold the Case 25 Management Conference on July 7, 2014, at 2:00 p.m. as previously scheduled. 26 ///// 27 28

## Case4:12-cv-06134-YGR Document129 Filed06/30/14 Page4 of 5 1 Respectfully submitted this 30th day of June, 2014. 2 MELINDA L. HAAG (CSBN 132612) United States Attorney 3 ALEX TSE (CSBN 152348) 4 Chief, Civil Division SAM HIRSCH 5 Acting Assistant Attorney General 6 By: /s/ Stephen M. Macfarlane STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE (N.Y. Bar No. 2456440) 7 Senior Attorney JOSEPH T. MATHEWS (Colo. Bar No. 42865) 8 E. BARRETT ATWOOD (D.C. Bar. No. 478539) 9 Trial Attorneys 10 Attorneys for Defendants 11 BRISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL LLP 12 13 By: Peter S. Prows (per authorization) PETER S. PROWS, Esq. 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2 3	I hereby certify that on June 30, 2014, I electronically filed the "Joint Status Update and Request
4	Re: Case Management Conference" with the Clerk of Court using the ECF system, which
5	automatically will send email notification to the attorneys of record.
6	/s/ Stephen M. Macfarlane
7	STEPHEN M. MACFARLANE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	